arXiv:1610.01819v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 6 Oct 2016

Spin-torque switching and control using chirped oscillating currents

Guillaume Klughertz
Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg, CNRS and Université de Strasbourg, BP 43, F-67034 Strasbourg, France

Lazar Friedland
Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Paul-Antoine Hervieux, Giovanni Manfredi*
Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg, CNRS and Université de Strasbourg, BP 43, F-67034 Strasbourg, France.

We propose to use oscillating spin currents with slowly varying frequency (chirp) to manipulate and control the magnetization dynamics in a nanomagnet. By recasting the Landau-Lifshitz-Slonczewski equation in a quantum-like two-level formalism, we show that: (i) A chirped spin current polarized in the direction normal to the anisotropy axis can be used to induce a stable precession of the magnetic moment at any angle (up to 90°) with respect to the anisotropy axis, on a nanosecond timescale; (ii) A combination of AC and DC spin currents with different polarization directions can significantly accelerate the magnetization switching time.

Introduction.— Many technological applications of magnetic nano-objects (nanomagnets) require to accurately control their magnetization dynamics[1–5]. This can be achieved in many ways, including static or oscillating magnetic fields, thermal effects, and spin-torque transfer (STT). The latter technique consists in injecting a spin-polarized current into a nanomagnet; the electron spins transfer some of their angular momentum to the magnetic material by applying a torque on its magnetic moment and thus inducing the switch. This technique was first proposed theoretically by Slonczewski[6] and Berger[7] and later realized experimentally and further developed by many others[8–11]. In the last decade, STT has given rise to new technological developments such as STT-based random-access memory[12] and spin-torque oscillators[13]. Still more recent investigations in this field have been focussing on spin-Hall effects[14].

Achieving optimal switching of the magnetization is a compromise between Joule heating of the sample and reversal time. Although DC currents are the most widespread method to achieve fast switching[15,16], recent theoretical and experimental work has shown that an AC current tuned at the resonant precession frequency could be even more efficient[17–19]. Various combinations of AC and DC currents and microwave magnetic fields were implemented to improve the efficiency of the switching[20–23]. A spin current excitation can also be used to induce persistent precession of the magnetic moment, thus enabling magnetic nanostructures to behave as tunable radiofrequency oscillators[24,25]. Analyzing the tunability and stability of such devices in the presence of intrinsic effects (damping, magnetic anisotropy, thermal fluctuations) is therefore of utmost importance.

In this work, we will demonstrate that an oscillating spin current with slowly variable frequency (chirp) is a very efficient tool for manipulating the magnetization dynamics in a magnetic material. We will focus on two important effects: (i) the fast switching of the magnetic moment and (ii) the precise control of its precession frequency.

The excitation of a nonlinear oscillator by a chirped oscillating force uses a well-known effect – usually referred to as *autoresonance* – which has been exploited for very diverse applications ranging from plasma[26] and atomic[27] physics to semiconductor nanostructures[28]. Autoresonant excitation occurs when a classical nonlinear oscillator starting in equilibrium is driven by a force $F(t) = \epsilon \cos[\int \omega(t)dt]$, with a time-dependent frequency $\omega(t)$ that slowly passes through the linear frequency ω_0 of the oscillator. It can be shown that, for the driving amplitude ϵ above a certain threshold ϵ_{th} (scaling as $\epsilon_{th} \sim \alpha^{3/4}$, where $\alpha = d\omega/dt$ is the chirp rate), the oscillator frequency "locks" to the driving frequency continuously, so that the resonance condition is preserved for a long time. In that case, the amplitude of the oscillations grows without saturation, until of course some other effects kick in.

In two earlier studies[29,30], we made use of the autoresonance mechanism to control the magnetization switching of a magnetic nanoparticle using a chirped microwave field. This technique can efficiently reduce the static switching field and works well even in the presence of damping, thermal noise, and dipolar interactions. Here we will show that a chirped spin current can induce the stable precession of the magnetic moment at a given frequency and that, in conjunction with a DC current, it can be used to switch the magnetic moment on a nanosecond timescale.

Model.— In the macrospin approximation, the magnetization dynamics is governed by the Landau-Lifshitz-Slonczewski (LLS) equation[6,7]:

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{LL} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_G + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{ST}, \tag{1}$$

where a dot denotes time differentiation, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{M}/M_s$ is the normalized magnetization vector, M_s is the magnetization at saturation, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{LL}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{ST}$ are

the torques induced by the effective magnetic field, the Gilbert damping and the polarized spin current, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{LL} &= -\gamma\mu_0\mathbf{m}\times\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}, &(2)\\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_{G} &= -\gamma\mu_0\lambda\mathbf{m}\times(\mathbf{m}\times\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}), &(3)\\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_{ST} &= -\gamma\mathbf{m}\times(\mathbf{m}\times\mathbf{I}_s), &(4)\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = 1.76\times10^{11}\text{rad T}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, and $\mathbf{I}_s = I_S\mathbf{e}_p$ is the spin current polarized in the direction $\mathbf{e}_p$, expressed in the units of a magnetic field (T). The effective field is the sum of an external field and the anisotropy field, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{H}_{an}$. In the present work, we will assume $\mathbf{H}_0 = 0$ and a uniaxial anisotropy along $\mathbf{e}_z$ so that $\mathbf{H}_{an} = \frac{2KV}{\mu_0 M_s} m_z\mathbf{e}_z$, where K is the anisotropy constant and V the volume. Equation (1) can be rewritten as: $\dot{\mathbf{m}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}\times\mathbf{m}$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \gamma\mu_0\left[\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} + \lambda(\mathbf{m}\times\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}})\right] - \gamma\mathbf{I}_s\times\mathbf{m}. \quad (5)$$

We shall adopt an approach due to Feynmann[31], which exploits the analogy between the magnetization dynamics and a two-level quantum-like system and was used earlier to study the autoresonant control of the magnetization dynamics[30]. The LLS equation is equivalent to a system of two coupled equations for the complex quantities A_1 and A_2:

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{A}_1 &= \frac{\kappa_0}{2}A_1 + \kappa A_2, &(6)\\ i\dot{A}_2 &= -\frac{\kappa_0}{2}A_2 + \kappa^* A_1 &(7)\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_0 = \widetilde{H}_z$, $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{H}_x - i\widetilde{H}_y)$, and $\mathbf{m}$ is related to $A_{1,2}$ through the expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} m_x &= A_1A_2^* + A_1^*A_2,\\ m_y &= i\left(A_1A_2^* - A_1^*A_2\right), &(8)\\ m_z &= |A_1|^2 - |A_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In this formalism, the switching corresponds to a population transfer from, say, level 1 to level 2. Note that $|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 = |\mathbf{m}| = 1$, so that the total population (i.e., the total magnetization) is conserved.

Autoresonant excitation.— We now focus on the case of a spin current polarized orthogonally to the axis of easy magnetization, i.e., $\gamma\mathbf{I}_s = J_\perp(t)\mathbf{e}_x$, with $J_\perp(t) = 2\varepsilon\cos\varphi_d$ and $\omega_d(t) = \dot{\varphi}_d(t)$ is the chirped driving frequency. To illustrate the technique, we first consider the simple case where damping is neglected ($\lambda = 0$) and the frequency varies linearly with time, $\omega_d(t) = \omega_0 - \alpha t$. In this case, it follows from Eq. (5) that $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = (\omega_r m_z - J_\perp m_y)\mathbf{e}_z + J_\perp m_z\mathbf{e}_y$, where $\omega_r = 2\gamma KV/M_s$ is the resonant precession frequency. The autoresonance mechanism requires that the time-dependent drive frequency crosses the resonant frequency from above, so we set the initial driving frequency $\omega_0 > \omega_r$.

We seek solutions to Eqs. (6) and (7) under the initial conditions $A_1 = 1$ and $A_2 = 0$, i.e. $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{e}_z$. To this end, we write $A_{1,2} = B_{1,2}e^{i\varphi_{1,2}}$, where $B_{1,2}$ are real functions, and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B}_1 &= -(J_\perp/2)(B_1^2 - B_2^2)B_2\cos\Delta\varphi &(9)\\ \dot{B}_2 &= (J_\perp/2)(B_1^2 - B_2^2)B_1\cos\Delta\varphi &(10)\\ 2\dot{\varphi}_1 &= -\omega_r(1-2B_2^2) + J_\perp(B_2/B_1)\sin\Delta\varphi &(11)\\ 2\dot{\varphi}_2 &= \omega_r(1-2B_2^2) - J_\perp(B_1/B_2)\sin\Delta\varphi, &(12)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta\varphi = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1$. We then define $\phi = \Delta\varphi - \varphi_d - \pi/2$, and use the rotating wave approximation (neglecting the high frequencies) to derive the equations for the coupled variables B_2 and ϕ:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B}_2 &= -(\varepsilon/2)(B_1^2 - B_2^2)B_1\sin\phi &(13)\\ \dot{\phi} &= \omega_r - \omega_d - 2\omega_r B_2^2 - \varepsilon/(2B_1B_2)\cos\phi, &(14)\end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $B_1 = \sqrt{1-B_2^2}$. Assuming the system is in the weakly nonlinear regime ($B_1 \approx 1$ and $B_2 \ll 1$), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B}_2 &= -(\varepsilon/2)\sin\phi &(15)\\ \dot{\phi} &= \omega_r - \omega_d - 2\omega_r B_2^2 - (\varepsilon/2B_2)\cos\phi. &(16)\end{aligned}$$

The above equations are typical of systems that can be driven into autoresonance[30]. Previous work[32] showed that the system is captured into autoresonance when the excitation amplitude ε exceeds a certain threshold

$$\varepsilon > \varepsilon_{th} = 0.82(2\omega_r)^{-1/2}\alpha^{3/4}. \quad (17)$$

When the above condition is satisfied, the chirped spin current stays locked with the precession oscillations, and drives the magnetic moment away from the anisotropy axis even in the nonlinear regime. These theoretical results are in agreement with numerical simulations of the full Landau-Lifshitz-Slonczewski equation, carried out for a nanomagnet with volume $V = 1.41\times10^{-26}$ m^3, anisotropy constant $K = 2.2\times10^5$ J/m^3, and magnetization $M_s = 2.36\times10^{-20}$ J/T (see Fig. 1). For these parameters, the resonant frequency is $\omega_r/2\pi = 7.36$ GHz.

Note however that, according to Eq. (13), the time derivative of B_2 vanishes when $B_1 = B_2$. Thus, when $m_z = 0$, it is impossible to further populate the level B_2. This implies that one cannot fully reverse the magnetization (i.e., reach $m_z = -1$) using such spin current. The largest precession angle attainable with this technique is 90° as can be seen from Fig. 1. In the absence of damping, the magnetic moment will precess indefinitely perpendicular to the anisotropy axis $\mathbf{e}_z$.

Autoresonant control of the precession.— We now show that the autoresonant technique can be used to bring the magnetization to rotate around the anisotropy axis at a certain target angle and precession frequency. This is an important feature that allows to convert an electric current into high-frequency magnetic rotation, with potential applications to nanoscale devices such as microwave sources and resonators. In particular, we want to study the stability of the forced precession regime using



FIG. 1: Dynamics of m_z for a perpendicularly polarized spin current with amplitude $\varepsilon = 3\varepsilon_{th}$, initial frequency $\omega_0/2\pi = 20$ GHz, and linear chirp rate $\alpha = 2$ GHz/ns. The inset shows the threshold amplitude $I_{s,th}$ against $\alpha^{3/4}$. The blue dots are numerical results obtained by solving the full LLS equation, while the red line represents the theoretical formula, Eq.(17).



FIG. 2: Time evolution of m_z for a perpendicularly-polarized chirped spin current, with $\omega_f/2\pi = 4$ GHz and $I_S = 6.3$ mT (black curve) and $\omega_f/2\pi = 0.2$ GHz, $I_S = 11.3$ mT (red curve). In both cases, $\omega_0/2\pi = 10$GHz and $\tau = 2.5$ ns.

.

a spin current, including the effect of the Gilbert damping term $\mathbf{\Gamma}_G$. To this end, we enforce a fixed precession angle by chirping the excitation frequency exponentially, from the initial value ω_0 towards the asymptotic value ω_f: $\omega_d = \dot{\varphi}_d = \omega_f + (\omega_0 - \omega_f)\mathrm{e}^{-t/\tau}$.

We proceed from Eqs. (13)-(14), where we add a small dissipative term ($\lambda\omega_r/\varepsilon \ll 1$). Assuming that, for $\omega_d < \omega_r$ (i.e., after crossing the linear resonance), the system is sufficiently excited so that B_2 is finite and $\epsilon/(B_1 B_2) \ll 1$, we can neglect the $\cos\phi$ term in Eq. (14). Then we get:

$$\dot{x} = -\varepsilon F(x)\sin\phi - 2\lambda\omega_r G(x) \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \Delta - 2\omega_r x, \qquad \Delta > 0 \quad (19)$$

where $x = B_2^2$, $\Delta = \omega_r - \omega_d$, $F(x) = (1-2x)\sqrt{x(1-x)}$ and $G(x) = (1-2x)x(1-x)$. The steady state of this system is $x_0 = \Delta/(2\omega_r)$, $\phi_0 = \pi + \frac{2\omega_r\lambda}{\varepsilon}\frac{G_0}{F_0}$, where $F_0 = F(x_0)$ and $G_0 = G(x_0)$. We now discuss the stability of this steady state with respect to small perturbations, by writing $x = x_0 + \delta x\mathrm{e}^{i\nu t}$ and $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi\mathrm{e}^{i\nu t}$. Equations (18) and (19) lead to the characteristic equation $\nu^2 - 2i\lambda\omega_r f_0\nu - 2\varepsilon\omega_r F_0 = 0$, where $f_0 \equiv G_0' - F_0'(G_0/F_0) = (1-2x_0)^2/2$ (the apex denotes differentiation with respect to x), yielding two characteristic frequencies

$$\nu_\pm = i\lambda\omega_r f_0 \pm \sqrt{2\varepsilon\omega_r F_0 - (\lambda\omega_r f_0)^2}. \quad (20)$$

As the last term in the square root is small and f_0 is positive, both roots $\nu_\pm$ have a positive imaginary part, which guarantees stability. Thus, the autoresonant regime is always stable, despite the fact that the damping tends to bring the magnetic moment back to the anisotropy axis.

We have checked numerically, by solving the full LLS equation, that stable precession of the magnetic moment can indeed be forced for any angle in the range $[0, \pi/2]$ using the autoresonance technique. Two examples are shown in Fig. 2, for final frequencies $\omega_f/2\pi = 4$ GHz and 0.2 GHz, which correspond respectively to angles $\theta = 57°$ and $88°$ between the magnetic moment $\mathbf{m}$ and the anisotropy axis $\mathbf{e}_z$.

In contrast, when the magnetization dynamics is excited with a chirped oscillating magnetic field (usually in the microwave range[29]), a similar analysis yields instability for $\theta > 45°$. Numerical simulations of the full Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation, similar to those we performed in an earlier work[29], confirm this result, as can be seen from Fig. 3. It is observed that the stability threshold is around $\theta^\infty \approx 50°$, slightly larger than the theoretical value.

In summary, we have shown that a chirped AC spin-polarized current can be used to trigger the controlled precession of the magnetic moment in a nanomagnet at any angle (up to 90°) with the anisotropy axis, while a chirped AC magnetic field yields a stable precession only for angles smaller than $\approx 50°$. The stability properties that we demonstrated for the spin-current case are of great importance in real STT devices[33], where phase fluctuations due to the presence of thermal noise can have a disruptive effect. Although temperature effects were not included in our model, previous studies[29,34,35] showed that the autoresonant mechanism is rather robust against thermal noise. One can therefore expect that the control method described above can be safely applied to realistic thermal situations, although of course that will have to be checked directly in future works.

Magnetization reversal.— As a further application, we propose a procedure to completely switch the magnetic moment from parallel to antiparallel to the anisotropy axis $\mathbf{e}_z$. The procedure is again based on the autoresonance technique and requires *two* spin currents polarized in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to $\mathbf{e}_z$.

Let us first consider a purely parallel spin current: $\gamma\mathbf{I}_s = -J_\parallel(t)\mathbf{e}_z$. The effective field is then given by (we



FIG. 3: Evolution of m_z for a chirped magnetic field excitation, for an unstable case with $\omega_f^\infty/2\pi = 4$ GHz (left frame) and a stable case with $\omega_f^\infty/2\pi = 4.5$ GHz (right frame). The insets show the temporal profile of the drive frequency $\omega_d(t)$.

neglect damping for simplicity):

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \omega_r m_z \mathbf{e}_z - J_\parallel (m_y \mathbf{e}_x - m_x \mathbf{e}_y). \tag{21}$$

Here, we can again use the two-level formalism described above, write the complex amplitudes $A_{1,2} = B_{1,2} e^{i\varphi_{1,2}}$ to get an analog of Eqs. (9)-(12) for the purely parallel spin current case

$$\dot{B}_1 = -J_\parallel B_1 B_2^2 \tag{22}$$
$$\dot{B}_2 = J_\parallel B_2 B_1^2 \tag{23}$$
$$2\dot{\varphi}_1 = -\omega_r (B_1^2 - B_2^2) \tag{24}$$
$$2\dot{\varphi}_2 = \omega_r (B_1^2 - B_2^2). \tag{25}$$

The solution for B_2 is obtained after the separation of variables and integration:

$$B_2^2(t) = \frac{B_2^2(0) \mathrm{e}^{2\Gamma}}{B_1^2(0) + B_2^2(0) \mathrm{e}^{2\Gamma}}, \tag{26}$$

where $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t J_\parallel dt$. Thus, for sufficiently large times and provided $B_2(0) \neq 0$, one obtains that $B_2 \to 1$, i.e., complete reversal of the magnetization by means of a DC spin current collinear with the anisotropy axis. The interesting point is that this well-known result could be obtained in a straightforward way using the two-level approach.

From Eq. (26), it appears that the magnetic moment must be tilted away from the anisotropy axis at the initial time, i.e. $B_2(0) \neq 0$, in order for the reversal process to work. This suggests a way to combine a chirped perpendicular AC current (autoresonance) with a parallel DC current in order to shorten the reversal time. Starting with a magnetic moment oriented along $\mathbf{e}_z$, a chirped perpendicular current first tilts the moment of a certain angle with respect to the anisotropy axis (this is the technique described earlier in this work); next, the parallel DC current completes the reversal according to Eq. (26). The parallel spin current can be applied after or together with the perpendicular current.

Numerical simulations confirm this scenario (Fig. 4). Here, we show three cases where the $J_\perp$ and $J_\parallel$ currents are applied either separately or together: $J_\perp$ alone can tilt the magnetic moment only up to 90°($m_z = 0$); $J_\parallel$ alone (3 mT in this case, with an initial tilt of 1°) can reverse the magnetization completely in about 10 ns; finally, when both currents are combined, the switching time is reduced to 5 ns. In the combined case, we used an AC spin current of magnitude 6 mT, although the theoretical threshold amplitude is close to 9 mT. This shows that the simultaneous use of the two types of excitations leads to a reduction of both the switching time and the autoresonance threshold for the $J_\perp$ component.



FIG. 4: Time evolution of m_z for different types of spin currents: DC spin current of intensity $I_S = 3$ mT parallel to the anisotropy axis (red curve); AC chirped spin current perpendicular to the anisotropy axis with $I_S = 9$ mT, $\alpha = 2$ GHz/ns, and $\omega_0/2\pi = 20$ GHz (blue curve); and the combination of both parallel and perpendicular currents (black curve). All cases include Gilbert damping $\lambda = 0.01$.

Conclusion.— In this work we explored the potential use of chirped spin currents to manipulate and control the magnetization dynamics. We have shown that a chirped spin current polarized in the direction normal to the anisotropy axis can capture the magnetic moment into autoresonance and drive its precession to a stable angle (up to 90° with respect to the anisotropy axis) on a nanosecond timescale. In comparison, a chirped oscillating magnetic field is only able to achieve this for a precession angle below 45°.

Furthermore, we have demonstrated that a combination of different types of spin currents (DC parallel and AC chirped perpendicular) can be used to significantly accelerate the switching time. Different scenarios that combine chirped microwave fields with AC or DC spin currents could also be envisaged[21,23] in the future.

Acknoledgments.— We acknowledge the financial support of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche through project Equipex UNION, grant ANR-10-EQPX-52. L.F. acknowledges the support of the Israel Science Foundation. We thank Matthieu Bailleul for his careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

* Electronic address: manfredi@unistra.fr

[1] B. Hillebrands and J. Fassbender, Nature **418**, 493 (2002).
[2] C.H. Back *et al.*, Science **285**, 864 (1999).
[3] Th. Gerrits, H.A.M. van den Berg, J. Hohlfeld, L. Bär, and Th. Rasing, Nature **418**, 509 (2002).
[4] H. W. Schumacher, C. Chappert, P. Crozat, R. C. Sousa, P. P. Freitas, J. Miltat, J. Fassbender, and B. Hillebrands, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 017201 (2003).
[5] T. Seki, K. Utsumiya, Y. Nozaki, H. Imamura, and K. Takanashi, Nature Comm. **4**, 1726 (2013).
[6] J.C. Slonczewski, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **159**, L1 (1996).
[7] L. Berger, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 9353 (1996).
[8] S. Zhang and P.M. Levy and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 236601 (2002).
[9] M.D. Stiles and A. Zangwill, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 014407 (2002).
[10] E.B. Myers, D.C. Ralph, J.A. Katine, R.N. Louie, and R.A. Buhrman, Science **285**, 867 (1999).
[11] J.A. Katine, F.J. Albert, R.A. Buhrman, E.B. Myers, and D.C. Ralph, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3149 (2000).
[12] K. L. Wang, J. G. Alzate and P. Khalili Amiri, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **46**, 074003 (2013).
[13] S.I. Kiselev, J.C. Sankey, I.N. Krivorotov, N.C. Emley, R.J. Schoelkopf, R.A. Buhrman and D.C. Ralph, Nature **425**, 380 (2003).
[14] Jairo Sinova, Sergio O. Valenzuela, J. Wunderlich, C.H. Back, and T. Jungwirth, Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 1213 (2015).
[15] D. Bedau, H. Liu, J.-J. Bouzaglou, A. D. Kent, J. Z. Sun, J. A. Katine, E. E. Fullerton, and S. Mangin, Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**, 022514 (2010).
[16] J. Swiebodzinski, A. Chudnovskiy, T. Dunn, and A. Kamenev, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 144404 (2010).
[17] Y. T. Cui, J. C. Sankey, C. Wang, K. V. Thadani, Z. P. Li, R. A. Buhrman, and D. C. Ralph, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 214440 (2008).
[18] W. Chen, S. H. Florez, J. A. Katine, M. J. Carey, L. Folks, and B. D. Terris, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 054459 (2011).
[19] T. Dunn and A. Kamenev, J. Appl. Phys. **112**, 103906 (2012).
[20] M. Carpentieri, M. Ricci, P. Burrascano, L. Torres, and G. Finocchio, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07C909 (2012).
[21] G. Finocchio, I. Krivorotov, M. Carpentieri, G. Consolo, B. Azzerboni, L. Torres, E. Martinez, and L. Lopez-Diaz, J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 08G507 (2006).
[22] T. Dunn and A. Kamenev, J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 233906 (2014).
[23] Tomohiro Taniguchi, Daisuke Saida, Yoshinobu Nakatani, and Hitoshi Kubota, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 014430 (2016).
[24] D. Houssameddine, U. Ebels, B. Delaet, B. Rodmacq, I. Firastrau, F. Ponthenier, M. Brunet, C. Thirion, J.-P. Michel, L. Prejbeanu-Buda, M.-C. Cyrille, O. Redon, and B. Dieny, Nat. Mater. **6**, 447 (2007).
[25] G. Bertotti, C. Serpico, I. D. Mayergoyz, A. Magni, M. d'Aquino, and R. Bonin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 127206 (2005).
[26] J. Fajans, E. Gilson, and L. Friedland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4444 (1999).
[27] B. Meerson and L. Friedland, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 5233-5236 (1990).
[28] G. Manfredi and P.-A. Hervieux, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 061108 (2007).
[29] G. Klughertz, P.-A. Hervieux and G. Manfredi, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **47**, 345004 (2014).
[30] G. Klughertz, L. Friedland, P.-A. Hervieux and G. Manfredi, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 104433 (2015).
[31] R. Feynman, F. L. Vernon, and R. W. Hellwarth, J. Appl. Phys. **28**, 49 (1957).
[32] J. Fajans and L. Friedland, Am. J. Phys. **69**, 1096 (2001).
[33] Joo-Von Kim, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 174412 (2006).
[34] I. Barth, L. Friedland, E. Sarid, and A.G. Shagalov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 155001 (2009).
[35] Y. Shalibo, Y. Rofe, I. Barth, L. Friedland, R. Bialczack, J.M. Martinis, and N. Katz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 037701 (2012).